PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNAT	ΓΙΟΝΑL SEARC	HING AUTH	IORITY	PCT REC'D 23 JUN 2005				
To:	n a nizirn							
	PARKER COIE LLP							
PATENT	S-SEA			WR	ITTEN OPINION OF THE			
P.O. BOX 1247 SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247				INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
			·	(PCT Rule 43bis.1)				
					Date of mailing (day/month/year) 21 JUN 2005			
Applicant	's or agent's file i	reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION				
34114802	6WO			See paragraph 2 below				
Internation	nal application No).	International filing date	(day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/US0	5/01070		12 January 2005 (12.01.	1.2005) 12 January 2004 (12.01.2004)				
Internation	nal Patent Classif	ication (IPC)	or both national classifica	tion and IPC	·			
IPC(7): A	61B 5/05 and US	CI.: 600/424						
Applicant								
CALYPSO	O MEDICAL TE	CHNOLOGI	ES, INC.					
1. This	opinion contains i	ndications re	lating to the following iten	ns:				
	Box No. I	Basis of the	e opinion					
	Box No. II	Priority						
	Box No. III	Non-establi	shment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, inve	entive step and industrial applicability			
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention							
	Box No. V	o. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
	Box No. VI	Certain doc	cuments cited					
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application							
	Box No. VIII	Certain obs	ervations on the internation	onal application				
	THER ACTIO							
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.								
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.								
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.								
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.								
Name and	mailing address of	of the ISA/ U	S	Authorized officer				
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US				Scott M. Getzow More Medical				
	Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450							
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450				Telephone No. (123)456-7899				

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.	
PCT/US05/01070	

DOX 140. 1	Basis of this opinion
1. With rega	rd to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in wh
	d, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
Thi	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language ich is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard in Claimed in	ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the twention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. typ	e of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. for	mat of material
	in written format
	in computer readable form
c. time	e of filing/furnishing
0	contained in international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
filed the	ddition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
Additional	comments:
	\cdot
•	
	•

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/01070

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
1. Statement			•				
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-8	87	YES				
	Claims NO	ONE	NO .				
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 11	· 87	YES				
inventive step (20)	Claims 1-1		NO				
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-8	87	YES				
industrial applications (111)	Claims NO		NO				
	•						

2. Citations and explanations:

Claim 1-10 do not meet the criteria for invnetive step under PCT Article 33(3). The application to Govari is considered to render the claims obvious. One of ordinary skill would know that such a device can be used with an electrooe attached to the body which is implanted in the patient.

Claik 11-87 meet the criteria for invnetive step under PCT Article 33(3). The prior art of record does not fairly teach the use of a radiographic centroid and an alternating magnetic field.

Claims 1-87 meet the criteria for novelty under PCT Article 33(2). The prior art does not anticipate the claimed invnetion in single reference.

Claims 1-87 meet the criteria for industrial applicability under PCT Article 33(4). The invention is indeed useful in the medical arts.